

1. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$P[X_i \leq t] = \Phi\left(\frac{t - \frac{1}{i}}{\frac{1}{i}}\right) = \Phi(it - 1)$$

So as $i \rightarrow \infty$, for $t \neq 0$

$$P[X_i \leq t] \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } t > 0 \end{cases}$$

and this agrees with the cdf of a unit point mass at 0. (The fact that $P[X_i \leq 0]$ doesn't converge to 1, the value of that cdf at 0 is irrelevant, since 0 is not a continuity point of the limit cdf.) Yes, they converge in dsn

2. a) Obviously, if the marginal is itself normal since a linear combination of independent normals is normal $(S_n - ES_n) / \sqrt{\text{Var } S_n}$ is exactly $N(0,1)$ for each n .

b) The corrected version of Problem 20 may be applied here. It suffices that

$$\frac{\mu_1^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j^2} \rightarrow 0$$

i.e. that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j^2$ diverges

3. Let ϕ_n be the chf of X_n and ϕ be the chf of X . Then, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E e^{itX_{N_n}} &= E E[e^{itX_{N_n}} | N_n] \\ &= E \phi_{N_n}(t) \end{aligned}$$

But $|\phi_{N_n}(t)| \leq 1$ and $N_n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. implies that $\phi_{N_n}(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$ a.s. So the BCT shows that

$$E \phi_{N_n}(t) \rightarrow \phi(t)$$

and the continuity theorem then implies that $X_{N_n} \rightarrow X$

4. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the function of x $\exp(tz)$ is bounded and cont^s on $[a, b]$. Thus convergence in dsn of X_i to X implies that

$$E \exp(tX_i) \rightarrow E \exp(tX)$$

(This is $\exists \Rightarrow 1$ in Theorem 2)

$$5. Y = WX + (1-W)(-X)$$

So if μ is the dsn of X and ν is the dsn of $-X$, the dsn of Y is

$$\frac{1}{2}\mu + \frac{1}{2}\nu$$

$$\text{and } E \exp(itY) = \frac{1}{2} \int \exp(itz) d\mu(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{2} \int \exp(itz) d\nu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1-it} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1+it} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{z}{(1-it)(1+it)} = \frac{1}{1+t^2}$$

This is real, so the dsn of Y is symmetric about 0.

b) The joint pmf for (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) on $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}^n$ is

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\binom{m}{x_i} p^{x_i} (1-p)^{m-x_i} \mathbb{I}[x_i \leq m] \right]$$

$$= p^{\sum x_i} (1-p)^{nm - \sum x_i} \mathbb{I}[\max x_i \leq m]$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{m}{x_i}$$

$$\frac{(m!)^n}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i! \prod_{i=1}^n (m-x_i)!}$$

The factorization Theorem shows (as always) that the order statistics (or equivalently the empirical dsn) of the x_i 's is sufficient. I'm 90% certain that these are minimal sufficient. If we are to have the functions of (m, p)

$$f(y|m, p) \propto f(x|m, p)$$

we must first have them positive for the same set of m . This requires that the indicators

$$\mathbb{I}[m \geq \max y_i] \text{ and } \mathbb{I}[m \geq \max x_i]$$

are the same, i.e. $\max y_i = \max x_i$. Then, e.g. fixing p at $\frac{1}{2}$ we have to have the functions

of m on $\{ \max x_i, \max x_{i+1}, \dots \}$

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (m-x_i)!} \propto \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (m-y_i)!}$$

i.e. $\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(m-x_i+1) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(m-y_i+1)$

and I'm 99% sure that one may proceed by induction on n to show that 2 functions

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(m-a_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(m-b_i)$$

can be proportional iff the a_i and b_i have the same dens -

b) For the case of $n=2$ the minimal sufficiency of the order statistic is easier to see and for $(s_1, s_2) \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m,p} [g(X_1, X_2) | S(X) = (s_1, s_2)] &= \frac{\sum_{x \text{ with } S(x) = (s_1, s_2)} g(x_1, x_2) P_{m,p} [X_1 = x_1 \text{ and } X_2 = x_2]}{P_{m,p} [S(X) = (s_1, s_2)]} \\ &= \frac{(g(s_1, s_2) + g(s_2, s_1)) \binom{m}{s_1} \binom{m}{s_2} p^{s_1+s_2} (1-p)^{2m-s_1-s_2}}{2 \binom{m}{s_1} \binom{m}{s_2} p^{s_1+s_2} (1-p)^{2m-s_1-s_2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (g(s_1, s_2) + g(s_2, s_1)) \end{aligned}$$

7. X is not complete. $h(X) = W = X_1$ is a nontrivial ancillary (and therefore, 1st order ancillary) statistic.

8. If $P[X=-1] = P[X=1] = \frac{1}{2}$ Then

$$\begin{aligned} E e^{itX} &= \frac{1}{2} e^{it} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-it} \\ &= \cos t \end{aligned}$$

Then for X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n iid with this dsn and

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$E e^{it \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} S_n} = E e^{i \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right) S_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{independence} &\rightarrow \textcircled{=} \prod_{i=1}^n E e^{i \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right) X_i} \\ &= \left(\cos \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^n \end{aligned}$$

But the CLT says $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow N(0,1)$, so

$$\left(\cos \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^n \rightarrow e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \text{ the std normal chf}$$