

Stat 543

4-27-05

Recall

"Result" In an iid model where a prior for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^1$ has a density that is cont^s and positive at θ_0 and regularity conditions hold, if $\hat{\theta}_n(x)$ is the MLE of θ , under the θ_0 dsn for X the posterior density of

$$\sqrt{-l''_n(\hat{\theta}_n(x))} (\theta - \hat{\theta}_n(x))$$

"converges" to the std normal density $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp -\frac{\theta^2}{2} \right)$

i.e. a posterior density starts to look like a normal density with

mean $\hat{\delta}_n(X)$ and variance $\frac{1}{-l_n''(\hat{\delta}_n(X))}$

observed FI in X about θ

(this really comes from the fact that $L_n(\theta)$ tends to be peaked near θ_0 and take on the shape of a normal pdf ...)

Example X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n iid Bernoulli(p)
and prior $p \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$

posterior for $p | X_1, \dots, X_n$ is

$$\text{Beta}(\alpha + \sum X_i, \beta + (n - \sum X_i))$$

a random dsu with a therefore random pdf

What happens to this posterior as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a $p \in (0, 1)$?

Note $S_n(X) = \bar{X}_n$ $-\ell_n(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{n}{\bar{X}_n(1-\bar{X}_n)}$

The claim is that for X_1, \dots, X_n iid Bernoulli $p_0 \in (0, 1)$ and

$$r \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha + \sum X_i, \beta + (n - \sum X_i))$$

the pdf for

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\bar{X}_n(1-\bar{X}_n)}} (r - \bar{X}_n)$$

tends to look like a std normal density - i.e.
with p_0 probability 1 I get an observed sequence
of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n for which the Beta($\alpha + \sum X_i$,
 $\beta + (n - \sum X_i)$)

density is approximately normal with mean \bar{X}_n
and variance $\frac{\bar{X}_n(1-\bar{X}_n)}{n}$

?!?! Note that α, β wash out of the above statement
- i.e. every Beta prior will tend to have approximately

normal posteriors ... and there is nothing in this development that prescribes a different approximation for different (α, β) pairs ... $\textcircled{?}$

There are multivariate versions of this — for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^k$ the posterior density of

$$\left(-H_n(\hat{\theta}_n)\right)^{1/2} (\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)$$

a matrix square root of $-H_n$ of $(\text{Hessian of } -\log \text{likelihood})$

\uparrow
 MLE

tends to $N_k(0, \mathbf{I}_k)$ $k \times k$ identity

i.e. The posterior density of θ is approximately k -dimensional normal with

mean vector $\hat{\theta}_n$ and covariance matrix $(-H_n(\hat{\theta}_n))^{-1}$
inverse of observed FI

That gives a way to do approximate inference
 — but this boils down to inference based on large
 sample normal dist of $\hat{\theta}_n$ — ☹

A slightly more Bayesian-looking version of this

is to replace

$$\hat{\theta}_n \text{ by } \tilde{\theta}_n = \text{maximizer of } g(\theta|x) \\ (g(\theta) L_n(\theta))$$

$$H_n(\cdot) \text{ by } \tilde{H}_n(\cdot) = \text{matrix of 2nd partials} \\ \text{of log posterior density}$$

There are more refined approximations available —

A bit of insight as to where the normal limit for posteriors comes from (no tight argument, just some rough motivation)

Lemma (not really enough to what I'm claiming
 -- but perhaps enough to motivate it)

Under regularity conditions in an iid model where
 $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a consistent MLE of θ , for
 any Δ

$$Q_n(\Delta) = \ln(\hat{\theta}_n) - \ln\left(\hat{\theta}_n + \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

$\xrightarrow{P_\theta}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta^2 \mathbb{I}_1(\theta)$$

