

Stat 543

3-21-05

Recall : $\phi : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ a "randomized test"

Example Simple Discrete one

only a few α 's available for non-randomized tests ... randomization gives others

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R(x) > 2 \\ (.25 & \text{if } R(x) = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } R(x) < 2 \end{cases}$$

size $\alpha = .1$

this is of N-P form and is MP of size $\alpha = .1$

In fact there is a general story motivated by this simple discrete example

Thm (N-P Lemma) (Part II) (Existence)

If $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ \exists a test of the form

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R(x) > k \\ \gamma & \text{if } R(x) = k \\ 0 & \text{if } R(x) < k \end{cases}$$

for some $0 \leq k < \infty$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ that is most powerful of size α for testing $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$.

(The construction I used in the discrete example can be made in general.)

details of proof are not hard (^{imitate} ~~ape~~ the example)
but are tedious ... not worth class time

So what kind of Theory is available for problems more complicated than simple vs simple?

Bayes with 0-1 loss \mathbb{I} can give a complete
answer to "what is optimal?"

Theoretically complete
but possibly hard to
implement

For a prior $\theta \sim g(\theta)$ a Bayes test of
 $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$ vs $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$



is

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P[\theta \in \Theta_1 | X=x] > \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } P[\theta \in \Theta_1 | X=x] < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{P[\theta \in \Theta_1 | X=x]}{P[\theta \in \Theta_0 | X=x]} > 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{P[\theta \in \Theta_1 | X=x]}{P[\theta \in \Theta_0 | X=x]} < 1 \end{cases}$$

Further, (e.g. in cont^s cases) this is

$$\frac{\int_{\Theta_1} L_x(\theta) g(\theta) d\theta}{\int_{\Theta_0} L_x(\theta) g(\theta) d\theta}$$

note that
 $g(\cdot)$ averages
 of num +
 denom of $R(x)$
 replace $L_x(\theta_1)$
 and $L_x(\theta_0)$

Example $X \sim N(\theta, 1)$ $\theta \sim N(0, \delta^2)$

$$\theta | X = x \text{ is } N\left(\frac{\delta^2}{\delta^2 + 1} x, \frac{\delta^2}{\delta^2 + 1}\right)$$

Suppose I want to test $H_0: |\theta| \leq .3$ vs
 $H_1: |\theta| > .3$ ($\Theta_0 = [-.3, .3]$ $\Theta_1 = (-\infty, -.3) \cup (.3, \infty)$)

What is a Bayes test? Reject if posterior probability of Θ_0 is small (if posterior probability of Θ_1 is large)

$$P[\theta \in \Theta_1 | X=x] = \Phi\left(\frac{.3 - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2+1}x}{\sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2+1}}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{-.3 - \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2+1}x}{\sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2+1}}}\right)$$

and a Bayes test (with 0-1 loss) just amounts to checking to see if this is less than .5 — if it is, I decide in favor of Θ_1 ,

Example Discrete example from Exam I -

Find a Bayes test of $H_0: \theta = 1 \text{ or } 3$ vs $H_1: \theta = 2 \text{ or } 4$
with a prior with pmf

θ	1	2	3	4
$g(\theta)$.4	.2	.2	.2

$f(x|\theta)$ given by

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	.05	.1	.025	.3	.375	.15
2	.2	.4	.1	.1	.15	.05
3	.1	.2	.05	.2	.35	.1
4	.05	.1	0	.4	.25	.2

$f(x|\theta)g(\theta)$ is given by $H_0: \theta = 1 \text{ or } 3$ $H_a: \theta = 2 \text{ or } 4$

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	.02	.04	.01	.12	.15	.06
2	.04	.08	.02	.02	.03	.01
3	.02	.04	.01	.04	.07	.02
4	.01	.02	0	.08	.05	.04

a Bayes test is

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=1, 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } x=4, 5, 6 \end{cases}$$