

Stat 543

2-21-05

Recall : Simulation-based approaches to Bayes inference (understanding of  $g(\theta|x)$ )

- a) iid simulation from  $g(\theta|x)$  (w/o knowing  $\int L(\theta)g(\theta)d\theta$ ) rejection algorithm
- b) MCMC simulation
- Gibbs Sampling
  - Metropolis-Hastings

The goal is to produce simulated values  $\theta_1^*, \theta_2^*, \dots$  whose empirical dsn is guaranteed to approximate  $g(\theta|x)$  so that  $\mathbb{I}$  can approximate

$$Q = \int q(\theta) g(\theta|x) d\theta$$

with  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n q(\theta_i^*)$

---

back to Metropolis-Hastings

- initial  $\theta_0^*$
- at step  $i$  specify  $J_i(\theta'|\theta)$

select a candidate

$$\theta_i^{**} \sim J_i(\cdot \mid \theta_{i-1}^*)$$

and calculate

$$r_i = \frac{L(\theta_i^{**})g(\theta_i^{**}) / J_i(\theta_i^{**} \mid \theta_{i-1}^*)}{L(\theta_{i-1}^*)g(\theta_{i-1}^*) / J_i(\theta_{i-1}^* \mid \theta_i^{**})}$$

Let  $Y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\min(r_i, 1))$  and take

$$\theta_i^* = Y_i \theta_i^{**} + (1 - Y_i) \theta_{i-1}^*$$

Often, with wisely chosen  $J$ 's this produces a sequence  $\{\theta_i^*\}$  whose empirical dsns look like

$g(\theta | x) \dots \text{☺}$  all of this w/o knowing  
 $\int L(\theta) g(\theta) d\theta$

---

Skip 3.3 of B+D Minimax Thy

---

Some non-Bayesian Optimality Thy for SELE of  $\gamma(\theta)$  - consider an estimator  $\delta(X)$

$$L(\theta, a) = (\theta - a)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta, \delta) &= E_{\theta} (\delta(X) - \gamma(\theta))^2 \\ &= \text{MSE}_{\theta} (\delta(X)) \\ &= \text{Var}_{\theta} \delta(X) + \underbrace{(\underbrace{E_{\theta} \delta(X) - \gamma(\theta)}_{\text{bias}_{\theta}})^2}_{\text{bias}_{\theta}^2} \end{aligned}$$

Rao-Blackwell is about sufficiency + SELE -  
 idea is that if  $T(X)$  is sufficient  $\dagger$  can  
 always find something (an estimator) at least  
 as good as  $\delta(X)$  ... if  $\delta(X)$  is silly the thing  
 I find will be better

Thm (Rao-Blackwell) Suppose  $\delta(X)$  is an estimator  
 of  $\gamma(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^1$  with  $E_{\theta} |\delta(X)| < \infty$   
 and that  $T(X)$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ . Let  

$$\delta^*(t) = E[\delta(X) | T(X) = t]$$
 then  $\delta^*(T(X))$  is an estimator of  $\gamma(\theta)$

with

$$MSE_{\theta}(\delta^*(T(X))) \leq MSE_{\theta}(\delta(X))$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{and} \\ \theta \text{ is such that} \end{array} \right. \left. \begin{array}{l} MSE_{\theta}(\delta^*(T(X))) < MSE_{\theta}(\delta(X)) \text{ if} \\ E_{\theta}(\delta(X))^2 < \infty \text{ and} \\ P_{\theta}[\delta(X) \neq \delta^*(T(X))] > 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

Example  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  iid Bernoulli  $p$

$$\delta(p) = p$$

$$\delta(X) = X_1$$

$T(X) = \sum X_i$  is sufficient

$$MSE_p(\delta(X)) = p(1-p)$$

("clearly"  $\frac{T(X)}{n}$  is better...  $MSE_p\left(\frac{T(X)}{n}\right) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$ )

How to use Rao - Blackwell to improve on  $f(X) = X_1$ ? Given that  $T(X) = t$  The conditional distn of  $X | T(X) = t$  is uniform on

$$\{x \in \{0,1\}^n \mid T(x) = t\}$$

~~so that given  $T(X) = t$  a fraction  $\frac{t}{n}$  of the elements of this have  $x_1 = 1$  so~~

$$s^*(t) = E[X_1 \mid T(X) = t] = 1 \left(\frac{t}{n}\right) + 0 \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right) = \frac{t}{n}$$

$s(X)$  —————

my competing estimator (gotten from Rao-Blackwell construction) is

$$\delta^*(T(X)) = \frac{T(X)}{n} \quad \text{😊}$$

note this is better than  $\delta(X) = \underline{t}$  should have expected this ... as long as  $p \in (0,1)$   
 there is positive  $p$  probability that

$$\frac{\sum X_i}{n} \neq X_1$$

and { } of Rao-Blackwell says that  $\delta^*(T(X))$  will be better than  $\delta(X)$  —

— Note that if I had used  $X$  itself I would have gotten no improvement. —  
 $T(X)$  is minimal sufficient