

Stat 543 2-2-05

Estimation X $\delta(X)$ $\gamma(\theta)$

Example (not so standard) (C+B)

X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n iid Binomial (k, p)
 with both k, p unknown ... how
 to estimate $\gamma(k, p) = (k, p)$

There are several "standard" ways of
 inventing estimator
 MOM

Maximum Likelihood (M estimation)

Bayes

Method of Moments

Suppose a single observation takes values in \mathbb{R}^1 so that

$$E_{\theta} X^j = \mu_j(\theta)$$

makes sense - Suppose that for some (usually small) number of moments, r , we can write

$$\gamma(\theta) = h(\mu_1(\theta), \mu_2(\theta), \dots, \mu_r(\theta))$$

Then where entries of $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are iid $f(x|\theta)$, let

$$\hat{\mu}_{jn} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij}$$

And a plausible estimator of $\gamma(\theta)$ is then

$$\delta_n(X) = h(\hat{\mu}_{1n}, \hat{\mu}_{2n}, \dots, \hat{\mu}_{rn})$$

Example CB X_1, \dots, X_n iid $Bi(k, p)$

$$\mu_1(k, p) = kp$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(k, p) &= kp(1-p) + (kp)^2 \\ &= kp - kp^2 + k^2p^2 \end{aligned}$$

So $k = \frac{M_1}{P}$ and $M_2 = M_1 - M_1 P + M_1^2$

i.e. $P = M_1 + 1 - \frac{M_2}{M_1}$

and $k = \frac{M_1}{M_1 + 1 - \frac{M_2}{M_1}}$

And this suggests that to estimate (k, p) I might use

$$\hat{\delta}_n(X) = \left(\frac{\hat{M}_{1n}}{\hat{M}_{1n} + 1 - \frac{\hat{M}_{2n}}{\hat{M}_{1n}}}, \hat{M}_{1n} + 1 - \frac{\hat{M}_{2n}}{\hat{M}_{1n}} \right)$$

note this isn't necessarily an integer ☹

nevertheless this kind of thing makes some sense - e.g.

Provided $E|X|^r < \infty$ The LLN says that

$$\hat{\mu}_{sn} \xrightarrow{P_\theta} \mu_s(\theta) \quad \forall s \leq r$$

So if $h(\cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot)$ is cont ^{Σ} at $(\mu_1(\theta), \mu_2(\theta), \dots, \mu_r(\theta))$

then we can conclude that

$$g_n(X) = h(\hat{\mu}_{1n}, \dots, \hat{\mu}_{rn}) \xrightarrow{P_\theta} g(\theta)$$

this is an important one ... it is called "consistency"

Def If $\{\delta_n(x)\}$ is a sequence of estimators of $\gamma(\theta)$ and

$$\delta_n(x) \xrightarrow{P_{\theta_0}} \gamma(\theta_0)$$

we'll say that the sequence is consistent for $\gamma(\theta)$ at $\theta = \theta_0$.

~~$$\delta_n(x^n)$$~~

Example $C+B$ X_1, \dots, X_n iid $Bi(k, p)$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} h_2(m_1, m_2) &= m_1 + 1 - \frac{m_2}{m_1} \\ h_1(m_1, m_2) &= \frac{m_1}{h_2(m_1, m_2)} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{cont} \leq \text{on } (0, \infty)^2$$

Binomial dsns have 2nd moments so that
as long as $p > 0$ and $k \geq 1$

$$\hat{\mu}_{1n} \xrightarrow{P_{k,p}} \mu_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mu}_{2n} \xrightarrow{P_{k,p}} \mu_2$$

and thus $\delta_n(X)$ is consistent for (k, p)
for all such (k, p)

Example Truncated Poisson — marginal pmf

$$f(x|\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{1-e^{-\lambda}} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \quad x=1, 2, \dots$$

$$\mu_1(\lambda) = E_\lambda X = \frac{\lambda}{1-e^{-\lambda}}$$

Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are iid with this marginal pmf

$$\hat{\mu}_n = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i$$

use this to estimate λ

$$\mu_1(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{1 - e^{-\lambda}}$$

?? "solve for λ in terms of μ_1 " ???

But a MOM estimator of λ is a solution to

$$\bar{X} = \frac{\lambda}{1 - e^{-\lambda}}$$

(I have to do a little numerical analysis)
an "estimating equation" for λ

Often MOM estimators are sensible (at least after some "adjustment") but not always "optimal" — "usually" maximum likelihood does better

