

STAT 543 Homework 5 Solution

1.

Problem 2.2.30

In the multinomial Example 2.2.8, suppose some of the n_j are zero. Show that the MLE

of θ_j is $\hat{\theta}$ with $\hat{\theta}_j = \frac{n_j}{n}$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Hint: Suppose without loss of generality that $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_q = 0, n_{q+1} > 0, \dots, n_k > 0$.

Then

$$p(x, \theta) = \prod_{j=q+1}^k \theta_j^{n_j}$$

, which vanishes if $\theta_j = 0$ for any $j = q+1, \dots, k$.

Solution:

Suppose without loss of generality that

$$n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_q = 0, n_{q+1} > 0, \dots, n_k > 0.$$

Then,

$$p(x, \theta) = \prod_{j=q+1}^k \theta_j^{n_j}.$$

Then,

$$l_X(\theta) = \sum_{j=q+1}^k n_j \log \theta_j, \quad \theta \in \Theta = \{\theta : \theta_j > 0, \sum_{j=q+1}^k \theta_j = 1\}$$

, with

$$\theta_k = 1 - \sum_{j=q+1}^{k-1} \theta_j \tag{1}$$

By setting

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} l_X(\theta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \left(\sum_{j=q+1}^k n_j \log \theta_j \right) = \sum_{j=q+1}^k \frac{n_j}{\theta_j} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial \theta_j} = 0, \quad j = q+1, \dots, k-1 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{n_j}{\theta_j} - \frac{n_k}{\theta_k} &= 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\hat{\theta}_j}{\hat{\theta}_k} = \frac{n_j}{n_k}, \quad j = q+1, \dots, k-1 \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{j=q+1}^{k-1} \frac{\hat{\theta}_j}{\hat{\theta}_k} &= \frac{n}{n_k} - 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then, (1) & (2) $\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_k = \frac{n_k}{n} \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_j = \frac{n_j}{n_k} \hat{\theta}_k = \frac{n_j}{n}$, $j = q+1, \dots, k$.

Problem 2.2.35

Let $g(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, be the Cauchy density, let X_1 and X_2 be *i.i.d.* with

density $g(x - \theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let x_1 and x_2 be the observations and set $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_2)$.

Let $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max L_x(\theta)$ be “the” MLE.

(a) Show that if $|\Delta| \leq 1$, and then the MLE exists and is unique. Give the MLE when $|\Delta| \leq 1$.

(b) Show that if $|\Delta| > 1$, and then the MLE is not unique. Find the values of θ that maximize the likelihood $L_x(\theta)$ when $|\Delta| > 1$.

Hint: Factor out $(\bar{x} - \theta)$ in the likelihood equation.

Solution:

Since X_1, X_2 *iid* $\sim g(x - \theta) = \frac{1}{\pi(1 + (x - \theta)^2)}$, then

$$L_x(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi(1 + (x_1 - \theta)^2)} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi(1 + (x_2 - \theta)^2)}$$

$$l_x(\theta) = -\log(1 + (x_1 - \theta)^2) - \log(1 + (x_2 - \theta)^2) - 2\log(\pi)$$

$$l'_x(\theta) = \frac{2(x_1 - \theta)}{1 + (x_1 - \theta)^2} + \frac{2(x_2 - \theta)}{1 + (x_2 - \theta)^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2(x_1 - \theta) + 2(x_1 - \theta)(x_2 - \theta)^2 + 2(x_2 - \theta) + 2(x_2 - \theta)(x_1 - \theta)^2 = 0$$

$$2(x_1 + x_2 - 2\theta) + 2(x_1 - \theta)(x_2 - \theta)(x_1 + x_2 - 2\theta) = 0$$

$$2(1 + (x_1 - \theta)(x_2 - \theta))(x_1 + x_2 - 2\theta) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\Rightarrow 4\left\{(\theta - \bar{x})^2 - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta}\right\}(\bar{x} - \theta) = 0$$

Where,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta} &= (x_1 + x_2)^2 - 4(x_1x_2 + 1) \\ &= (x_1 - x_2)^2 - 4 \end{aligned}$$

a) If $|\Delta| \leq 1$, i.e. $|x_1 - x_2| \leq 2$, then $\tilde{\Delta} = (x_1 - x_2)^2 - 4 \leq 0$.

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Delta} < 0 & \Rightarrow 1 + (x_1 - \theta)(x_2 - \theta) = 0 \quad \text{has no root in } \mathbb{R} \\ \tilde{\Delta} = 0 & \Rightarrow 1 + (x_1 - \theta)(x_2 - \theta) = 0 \quad \text{has only root } \hat{\theta} = \bar{x} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

(1) and (2) $\Rightarrow l'_x(\theta) = 0$ has only one root $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2) = \bar{x}$

Check that

$$\begin{aligned}
 l_X''(\theta) &= \frac{-2[1 + (x_1 - \theta)^2] + 4(x_1 - \theta)^2}{[1 + (x_1 - \theta)^2]^2} + \frac{-2[1 + (x_2 - \theta)^2] + 4(x_2 - \theta)^2}{[1 + (x_2 - \theta)^2]^2} \\
 &= \frac{2(x_1 - \theta)^2 - 2}{[1 + (x_1 - \theta)^2]^2} + \frac{2(x_2 - \theta)^2 - 2}{[1 + (x_2 - \theta)^2]^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

And

$$l_X''(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{2(x_1 - \hat{\theta})^2 - 2}{[1 + (x_1 - \hat{\theta})^2]^2} + \frac{2(x_2 - \hat{\theta})^2 - 2}{[1 + (x_2 - \hat{\theta})^2]^2} = \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2 - 4}{\left[1 + \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{4}\right]^4} \leq 0$$

Hence, $\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$ is the MLE of θ when $|\Delta| \leq 1$.

b)

If $|\Delta| > 1$ i.e. $|x_1 - x_2| > 2$, then $\tilde{\Delta} = (x_1 - x_2)^2 - 4 > 0$.

$$\Rightarrow (\theta - \bar{x})^2 - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta} = 0 \text{ has 2 roots.}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{x} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}}, \quad \hat{\theta}_2 = \bar{x} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}}$$

So, $l_X'(\theta) = 0$ has 3 roots.

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{x} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}}, \quad \hat{\theta}_2 = \bar{x} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}}, \quad \hat{\theta}_3 = \bar{x}$$

Then,

$$L_X(\hat{\theta}_1) = \frac{1}{\pi(1 + (x_1 - \hat{\theta}_1)^2)} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi(1 + (x_2 - \hat{\theta}_1)^2)} = \frac{1}{\pi^2 T_1(x_1, x_2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_1(x_1, x_2) &= \left(\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{4} + 1 + \frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{4} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(x_1 - x_2)^2 \cdot \tilde{\Delta} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta} + 2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta}^2 - \tilde{\Delta} = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta}^2 + 2\tilde{\Delta} + 4 - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta}^2 - \tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\Delta} + 4
 \end{aligned}$$

$$L_X(\hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{1}{\pi(1 + (x_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)^2)} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi(1 + (x_2 - \hat{\theta}_2)^2)} = \frac{1}{\pi^2 T_2(x_1, x_2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_2(x_1, x_2) &= \left(\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{4} + 1 + \frac{\tilde{\Delta}}{4} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(x_1 - x_2)^2 \cdot \tilde{\Delta} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta} + 2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta}^2 - \tilde{\Delta} = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta}^2 + 2\tilde{\Delta} + 4 - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\Delta}^2 - \tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\Delta} + 4
 \end{aligned}$$

$$L_X(\hat{\theta}_3) = \frac{1}{\pi \left(1 + (x_1 - \hat{\theta}_3)^2\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi \left(1 + (x_2 - \hat{\theta}_3)^2\right)} = \frac{1}{\pi^2 T_3(x_1, x_2)}$$

$$T_3(x_1, x_2) = \left(1 + \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{4}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{5}{4}\tilde{\Delta} + 2\right)^2$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x_1, x_2) &= T_2(x_1, x_2) < T_3(x_1, x_2) \\ \Rightarrow L_X(\hat{\theta}_1) &= L_X(\hat{\theta}_2) > L_X(\hat{\theta}_3) \end{aligned}$$

So, the MLE is not unique, and $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \bar{x} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}}$ and $\bar{x} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\tilde{\Delta}}$.

Problem 2.2.39

Let X_i denote the number of hits at a certain Web site on day $i, i = 1, \dots, n$. Assume that $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ has a Poisson, $P(n\lambda)$, distribution. On day $n+1$ the Web Master decides to keep track of two types of hits (money making and not money making).

Let V_j and W_j denote the number of hits of type 1 and 2 on day $j, j = n+1, \dots, n+m$.

Assume that $S_1 = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} V_j$ and $S_2 = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} W_j$ have $P(m\lambda_1)$ and $P(m\lambda_2)$ distributions, where $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$. Also assume that S, S_1 , and S_2 are independent. Find the MLEs of λ_1 and λ_2 based on S, S_1 , and S_2 .

Solution:

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sim P(n\lambda)$$

$$s_1 = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} v_j \sim P(m\lambda_1) \quad s_2 = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} w_j \sim P(m\lambda_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(\lambda) &= \frac{e^{-n\lambda} (n\lambda)^s}{s!} \cdot \frac{e^{-m\lambda_1} (m\lambda_1)^{s_1}}{s_1!} \cdot \frac{e^{-m\lambda_2} (m\lambda_2)^{s_2}}{s_2!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(n+m)\lambda} \cdot (n\lambda)^s \cdot (m\lambda_1)^{s_1} \cdot (m\lambda_2)^{s_2}}{s! s_1! s_2!} \end{aligned}$$

$$l_n(\lambda) = -(n+m)\lambda + s \log(n\lambda) + s_1 \log(m\lambda_1) + s_2 \log(m\lambda_2) - \log(s!) - \log(s_1!) - \log(s_2!)$$

$$\frac{\partial l_n}{\partial \lambda_1} = -(n+m) + \frac{s}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial l_n}{\partial \lambda_2} = -(n+m) + \frac{s}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + \frac{s_2}{\lambda_2} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Let } \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1} = k = \frac{s_2}{\lambda_2} &\Rightarrow s_1 = \lambda_1 k, \quad s_2 = \lambda_2 k \\
 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \frac{s_1 + s_2}{k} \\
 \Rightarrow -(n+m) + \frac{ks}{s_1 + s_2} = -k &\Rightarrow \frac{s + s_1 + s_2}{s_1 + s_2} k = n + m \\
 \Rightarrow k = \frac{(s_1 + s_2)(n + m)}{s + s_1 + s_2} \\
 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{s_1(s + s_1 + s_2)}{(s_1 + s_2)(n + m)} \\
 \hat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{s_2(s + s_1 + s_2)}{(s_1 + s_2)(n + m)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Check that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \lambda_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2} & \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \lambda_2^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} - \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1^2} & -\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} \\ -\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} & -\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} - \frac{s_2}{\lambda_2^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

, satisfies:

(1)

$$\frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \lambda_1^2} = -\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} - \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1^2} < 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \lambda_2^2} = -\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} - \frac{s_2}{\lambda_2^2} < 0$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \lambda_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2} & \frac{\partial^2 l_n}{\partial \lambda_2^2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} - \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1^2} & -\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} \\ -\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} & -\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} - \frac{s_2}{\lambda_2^2} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \left(\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} + \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1^2} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} + \frac{s_2}{\lambda_2^2} \right) - \frac{s^2}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^4} \\
 &= \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1^2} \cdot \frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} + \frac{s_2}{\lambda_2^2} \cdot \frac{s}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} + \frac{s_1 s_2}{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2} > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2

As in the first problem on Assignment 1, suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{10} are *i.i.d.* exponential with mean θ . That is, suppose that the marginal pdf is

$$f(x | \theta) = I[x \geq 0] \frac{1}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\theta}\right)$$

Suppose further that what is observed is not the X_i , but rather Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{10} for

$$Y_i = X_i \text{ rounded to the nearest integer}$$

Write out an E-M algorithm for finding the MLE of θ based on the available data.

Solution:

Let $y_i \equiv [x_i] \equiv$ nearest integer number to x_i

$$L(\theta | \underline{x}) = f(\underline{x} | \theta) = I(\min X(i) \geq 0) \frac{1}{\theta^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum x_i}{\theta}\right)$$

$$l(\theta) = -n \log(\theta) - \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta} + \log(I(\min X(i) \geq 0))$$

$$\text{Let } \frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$$

(E) Step:

$$E_{\theta^{(r)}}(x_i | y_i) = \frac{\int_{\min(y_i - 0.5, 0)}^{y_i + 0.5} x \frac{1}{\theta^{(r)}} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\theta^{(r)}}\right) dx}{\int_{\min(y_i - 0.5, 0)}^{y_i + 0.5} \frac{1}{\theta^{(r)}} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\theta^{(r)}}\right) dx}$$

(M) Step:

$$\theta^{(r+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E_{\theta^{(r)}}(x_i | y_i)}{n}$$

So the EM Algorithm is that choose initial value θ_0 first, and then by repeating (E) step and (M) step, we get a sequence of estimates $\theta^{(r)}$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$ until $\theta^{(r)}$ satisfies some convergence criterion, like $|\theta^{(r)} - \theta^{(r+1)}| < 10^{-10}$.

Problem 3

Write out an E-M algorithm for finding the MLE of \mathbf{p} based on all data collected in the three studies mentioned in the 3rd problem of Assignment 1.

Solution:

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 n_1 &= \text{the \# outcome } ++, & n_{1i} &= \text{\# outcome } ++ \text{ in study } i, & i &= 1, 2, 3 \\
 n_2 &= \text{the \# outcome } +-, & n_{2i} &= \text{\# outcome } +- \text{ in study } i, & i &= 1, 2, 3 \\
 n_3 &= \text{the \# outcome } -+, & n_{3i} &= \text{\# outcome } -+ \text{ in study } i, & i &= 1, 2, 3 \\
 n_4 &= \text{the \# outcome } --, & n_{4i} &= \text{\# outcome } -- \text{ in study } i, & i &= 1, 2, 3 \\
 n_1 &= \sum n_{1i}, n_2 = \sum n_{2i}, n_3 = \sum n_{3i}, n_4 = \sum n_{4i}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $p = (p_{++}, p_{+-}, p_{-+}, p_{--})$, then from example 2.2.8, and by *pdf* of multinomial,

$$\hat{p}_{++} = \frac{n_1}{n}, \hat{p}_{+-} = \frac{n_2}{n}, \hat{p}_{-+} = \frac{n_3}{n}, \hat{p}_{--} = \frac{n_4}{n}.$$

Observations we have:

$$\text{study 1: } n_{11} + n_{21}, \quad n_{31} + n_{41}$$

$$\text{study 2: } n_{12} + n_{22}, \quad n_{32} + n_{42}$$

$$\text{study 3: } n_{13} + n_{23}, \quad n_{33} + n_{43}$$

(E) Step

For study 1:

$$E_p^{(r)}(n_{11} \mid s(n) = n_{11} + n_{21}) = (n_{11} + n_{21}) \frac{p_{++}^{(r)}}{p_{++}^{(r)} + p_{+-}^{(r)}}$$

$$E_p^{(r)}(n_{21} \mid s(n) = n_{11} + n_{21}) = (n_{11} + n_{21}) \frac{p_{+-}^{(r)}}{p_{++}^{(r)} + p_{+-}^{(r)}}$$

$$E_p^{(r)}(n_{31} \mid s(n) = n_{31} + n_{41}) = (n_{31} + n_{41}) \frac{p_{-+}^{(r)}}{p_{-+}^{(r)} + p_{--}^{(r)}}$$

$$E_p^{(r)}(n_{41} \mid s(n) = n_{31} + n_{41}) = (n_{31} + n_{41}) \frac{p_{--}^{(r)}}{p_{-+}^{(r)} + p_{--}^{(r)}}$$

For study 2:

$$E_p^{(r)}(n_{12} \mid s(n) = n_{12} + n_{32}) = (n_{12} + n_{32}) \frac{p_{++}^{(r)}}{p_{++}^{(r)} + p_{-+}^{(r)}}$$

$$E_p^{(r)}(n_{32} \mid s(n) = n_{12} + n_{32}) = (n_{12} + n_{32}) \frac{p_{-+}^{(r)}}{p_{++}^{(r)} + p_{-+}^{(r)}}$$

$$E_p^{(r)}(n_{22} \mid s(n) = n_{22} + n_{42}) = (n_{22} + n_{42}) \frac{p_{+-}^{(r)}}{p_{+-}^{(r)} + p_{--}^{(r)}}$$

$$E_p^{(r)}(n_{42} \mid s(n) = n_{22} + n_{42}) = (n_{22} + n_{42}) \frac{p_{--}^{(r)}}{p_{+-}^{(r)} + p_{--}^{(r)}}$$

(M) Step:

$$\hat{p}_{++}^{(r+1)} = \frac{E_p^{(r)}(n_1)}{n}, \quad \hat{p}_{+-}^{(r+1)} = \frac{E_p^{(r)}(n_2)}{n}$$

$$\hat{p}_{-+}^{(r+1)} = \frac{E_p^{(r)}(n_3)}{n}, \quad \hat{p}_{--}^{(r+1)} = \frac{E_p^{(r)}(n_4)}{n}$$

So, the EM Algorithm is that choose an initial value $p^{(0)}$ first, and then by repeating (E) step and (M) step, we get a sequence of $p^{(r)}$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$ until $p^{(r)}$ satisfies some convergence criterion, like $|p^{(r)} - p^{(r+1)}| < 10^{-6}$.

4.

Problem 3.2.1

Show that if X_1, \dots, X_n is a $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ sample and π is the improper prior $\pi(\theta) = 1, \theta \in \Theta = \mathbb{R}$, then the improper Bayes rule for squared error loss is $\delta^*(X) = \bar{x}$.

Solution:

Given $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim i.i.d. N(\theta, \sigma^2)$, and the improper prior $\pi(\theta) = 1, \theta \in \Theta = \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) \pi(\theta)}{\int_0^1 f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i (x_i - \theta)^2\}}{\int_0^\infty (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i (x_i - \theta)^2\} d\theta} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \theta)^2\}}{\int_0^\infty (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \theta)^2\} d\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2/n}} \exp\{-\frac{(\theta - \bar{x})^2}{2\sigma^2/n}\} \quad (\text{By } \bar{x} \sim N(\theta, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n \sim N(\bar{x}, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$$

, and then the improper Bayes rule for squared error loss is

$$\delta^*(x) = E(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bar{x}.$$

Problem 3.2.2

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be the indicators of n Bernoulli trials with success probability θ .

Suppose $l(\theta, a)$ is the quadratic loss $(\theta - a)^2$ and that the prior $\pi(\theta)$ is the beta, $\beta(r, s)$, density. Find the Bayes estimated $\hat{\theta}_B$ of θ and write it as a weighted

average $\omega\theta_0 + (1 - \omega)\bar{X}$ of the mean θ_0 of the prior and the sample mean $\bar{X} = \frac{S}{n}$. Show

that $\hat{\theta}_B = \frac{S+1}{n+2}$ for the uniform prior.

Solution:

Given $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim i.i.d. \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$, then

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \theta^{\sum_i x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_i x_i}, 0 < \theta < 1.$$

And for the prior $\pi(\theta) \sim \text{Beta}(r, s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int_0^1 f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta} \\ &= \frac{\theta^{\sum_i x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_i x_i} \frac{1}{B(r, s)} \theta^{r-1} (1 - \theta)^{s-1}}{\int_0^1 \theta^{\sum_i x_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_i x_i} \frac{1}{B(r, s)} \theta^{r-1} (1 - \theta)^{s-1} d\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{B(\sum_i x_i + r, n + s - \sum_i x_i)} \theta^{\sum_i x_i + r - 1} (1 - \theta)^{n + s - \sum_i x_i - 1} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n \sim \text{Beta}(\sum_i x_i + r, n + s - \sum_i x_i)$$

Then under the quadratic loss, the Bayes rule is

$$\delta^*(\underline{x}) = \hat{\theta}_B = E(\theta | \underline{x}) = \frac{\sum_i x_i + r}{n + r + s} = \frac{S + r}{n + r + s}$$

Let

$$\theta_0 = \frac{r}{r + s}, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \frac{r + s}{n + r + s}$$

, then

$$\delta^*(\underline{x}) = \omega\theta_0 + (1 - \omega)\bar{x}.$$

Especially, for uniform prior, since uniform is actually $\text{Beta}(1, 1)$, then

$$\delta^*(\underline{x}) = \hat{\theta}_B = E(\theta | \underline{x}) = \frac{\sum_i x_i + r}{n + r + s} = \frac{S + 1}{n + 2}$$

Problem 3.2.3

In Problem 3.2.2 preceding, find the MLE of the Bernoulli variance $q(\theta) = \theta(1 - \theta)$ and find the Bayes estimate of $q(\theta)$. Check whether $q(\hat{\theta}_B) = E(q(\theta) | X)$, where $\hat{\theta}_B$ is the Bayes estimate of θ .

Solution:

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \bar{x}$$

$$E(q(\theta) | \underline{x}) = q(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \hat{\theta}_{MLE} (1 - \hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \bar{x} (1 - \bar{x})$$

$$q(\hat{\theta}_B) = \hat{\theta}_B (1 - \hat{\theta}_B) \quad \hat{\theta}_B = E(\theta | \underline{x})$$

Since

$$\theta | \underline{x} \sim \text{Beta}(\sum x_i + r, n - s - \sum x_i)$$

$$E(\theta | \underline{x}) = \hat{\theta}_B = \frac{\sum x_i + r}{n + s + r}$$

$$E(\theta^2 | \underline{x}) = \frac{(\sum x_i + r)(\sum x_i + r + 1)}{(n + s + r)(n + s + r + 1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(q(\theta) | \underline{x}) &= E(\theta(1 - \theta) | \underline{x}) \\ &= E(\theta | \underline{x}) - E(\theta^2 | \underline{x}) \\ &= \frac{(\sum x_i + r)}{n + s + r} - \frac{(\sum x_i + r)(\sum x_i + r + 1)}{(n + s + r)(n + s + r + 1)} \\ &= \frac{(\sum x_i + r)(n + s - \sum x_i)}{(n + s + r)(n + s + r + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} q(\hat{\theta}_B) &= \left(\frac{\sum x_i + r}{n + s + r} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\sum x_i + r}{n + s + r} \right) \\ &= \frac{(\sum x_i + r)(n + s - \sum x_i)}{(n + s + r)^2} \neq E(q(\theta) | \underline{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3.2.4

In the Bernoulli Problem 3.2.2 with uniform prior on the probability of success θ , we

found that $\frac{S+1}{n+2}$ is the Bayes rule. In some studies (see Section 6.4.3), the

parameter $\lambda = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$, which is called the *odds ratio* (for success), is preferred to θ . If we

put a (improper) uniform prior on λ , under what condition on S does the Bayes rule exist and what is the Bayes rule?

Solution:

By $f_Y(y) = f_X(x) \left| \frac{\partial X}{\partial Y} \right|$, we have

$$\lambda = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \ \& \ \pi(\lambda) = 1 \Rightarrow \pi(\theta) = \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2}, \ 0 < \theta < 1$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n \mid \theta) \pi(\theta) = \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i} \frac{1}{(1-\theta)^2} = \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-2-\sum x_i}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta \mid x_1, \dots, x_n) \pi(\theta) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n \mid \theta) \pi(\theta)}{\int f(x_1, \dots, x_n \mid \theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta} \\ &= \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-2-\sum x_i}}{\int \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-2-\sum x_i} d\theta} = \frac{1}{\beta(\sum x_i + 1, n-1-\sum x_i)} \cdot \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-2-\sum x_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \sim \beta(\sum x_i + 1, n-1-\sum x_i)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_B = E(\theta \mid x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{s+1}{n}$$

$$\text{That requires } \begin{cases} \sum x_i > 0 \\ \sum x_i + 1 > 0 \Rightarrow 0 < s < n-1, s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ n-1-\sum x_i > 0 \end{cases}$$