

Equilibrium Equations in Cylindrical Coordinates

The equilibrium equations in Cartesian coordinates in terms of the traction vectors acting on the coordinate planes were found to be:

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_i)}}{\partial x_i} + \mathbf{f} = 0$$

where

$$\mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_i)} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \sigma_{ij} \mathbf{e}_j \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$$

We would like to be able to generalize these equations to other coordinate systems, with cylindrical coordinates in particular in mind. We can do this if we place these equations in a coordinate-invariant form. This can be done with the aid of the vector identity

$$\nabla \cdot (\phi \mathbf{A}) = \phi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \phi$$

To see this, first consider

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_i} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \nabla \cdot \sum_{i=1}^3 (B_i \mathbf{e}_i)$$

If we let $\phi = B_i$ and $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{e}_i$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 B_i (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_i) + (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \nabla) B_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 [(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_i) + (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \nabla)] B_i \end{aligned}$$

which is a coordinate-invariant form of the divergence. Now, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_i}{\partial x_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 B_{ij} \mathbf{e}_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\frac{\partial B_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \mathbf{e}_j + B_{ij} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \left[\frac{\partial B_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \mathbf{e}_j + B_{ij} (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{e}_j \right] \end{aligned}$$

But from our previous result on the divergence we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial B_{ij}}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i=1}^3 [(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_i) + (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \nabla)] B_{ij}$$

so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}_i}{\partial x_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 [B_{ij} \mathbf{e}_j (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_i) + \mathbf{e}_j (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \nabla) B_{ij} + B_{ij} (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{e}_j] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 [\mathbf{B}_i (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_i) + (\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}_i] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}_i \end{aligned}$$

which is the coordinate-invariant form we wanted. If we let $\mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_i)}$ then the equilibrium equations in coordinate-invariant form are

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_i)} + \mathbf{f} = 0$$

To use this result, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_1 &\equiv \mathbf{e}_r = \cos\theta \mathbf{e}_x + \sin\theta \mathbf{e}_y \\ \mathbf{e}_2 &\equiv \mathbf{e}_\theta = -\sin\theta \mathbf{e}_x + \cos\theta \mathbf{e}_y \\ \mathbf{e}_3 &\equiv \mathbf{e}_z \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_1)} &= \sigma_{rr} \mathbf{e}_r + \sigma_{r\theta} \mathbf{e}_\theta + \sigma_{rz} \mathbf{e}_z \\ \mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_2)} &= \sigma_{\theta r} \mathbf{e}_r + \sigma_{\theta\theta} \mathbf{e}_\theta + \sigma_{\theta z} \mathbf{e}_z \\ \mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_3)} &= \sigma_{zr} \mathbf{e}_r + \sigma_{z\theta} \mathbf{e}_\theta + \sigma_{zz} \mathbf{e}_z \\ \mathbf{f} &= f_r \mathbf{e}_r + f_\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta + f_z \mathbf{e}_z \end{aligned}$$

Using these results and the fact that in cylindrical coordinates

$$\nabla = \mathbf{e}_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \mathbf{e}_\theta \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \mathbf{e}_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

we find

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \nabla = \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \nabla = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_3 \cdot \nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

so that the equilibrium equations are explicitly

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_r)}}{\partial r} + \frac{\mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_r)}}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_\theta)}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{e}_z)}}{\partial z} + \mathbf{f} = 0$$

or, in terms of the stress components

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{rr}}{\partial r} \mathbf{e}_r + \frac{\partial \sigma_{r\theta}}{\partial r} \mathbf{e}_\theta + \frac{\partial \sigma_{rz}}{\partial r} \mathbf{e}_z \right) + \left(\frac{\sigma_{rr}}{r} \mathbf{e}_r + \frac{\sigma_{r\theta}}{r} \mathbf{e}_\theta + \frac{\sigma_{rz}}{r} \mathbf{e}_z \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta r}}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{e}_r + \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{e}_\theta + \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta z}}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{e}_z + \sigma_{\theta r} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_r}{\partial \theta} + \sigma_{\theta\theta} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{zr}}{\partial z} \mathbf{e}_r + \frac{\partial \sigma_{z\theta}}{\partial z} \mathbf{e}_\theta + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} \mathbf{e}_z \right) + (f_r \mathbf{e}_r + f_\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta + f_z \mathbf{e}_z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{\sigma_{rr} - \sigma_{\theta\theta}}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{r\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{rz}}{\partial z} + f_r = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{r\theta}}{\partial r} + \frac{2\sigma_{r\theta}}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta z}}{\partial z} + f_\theta = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{zr}}{\partial r} + \frac{\sigma_{zr}}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{z\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} + f_z = 0$$

and, as with the Cartesian components of stress, we have, from moment equilibrium

$$\sigma_{r\theta} = \sigma_{\theta r}, \quad \sigma_{z\theta} = \sigma_{\theta z}, \quad \sigma_{zr} = \sigma_{rz}$$