

1. Suppose  $\underline{y} = X\underline{\beta} + \underline{\varepsilon}$ , where  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  has mean  $\underline{0}$  and dispersion  $\sigma^2 I$ . Suppose  $L'\underline{\beta}$  is estimable. Prove that the ordinary least squares estimator of  $L'\underline{\beta}$  is  $W'X'\underline{y}$  if and only if  $X'XW = L$ . (18 points)

2. Consider a completely randomized experiment with two factors denoted  $A$  and  $B$ . Suppose each factor has two levels and that the following data were collected.

Level of Factor $A$	Level of Factor $B$	Response
1	1	8
1	1	6
1	2	5
1	2	3
2	2	0
2	2	4

Suppose that the additive model  $y_{ijk} = \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ijk}$  is appropriate, where  $y_{ijk}$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  observation for level  $i$  of factor  $A$  and level  $j$  of factor  $B$  ( $i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2; k = 1, 2$ ) and the  $\varepsilon_{ijk}$  errors are independent and identically distributed as  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  for some  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Suppose we write this model as  $\underline{y} = X\underline{\beta} + \underline{\varepsilon}$ , where  $\underline{y} = (8, 6, 5, 3, 0, 4)'$  and  $\underline{\beta} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)'$ . Here  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  denote effects associated with levels 1 and 2 of factor  $A$ , respectively; and  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  denote effects associated with levels 1 and 2 of factor  $B$ , respectively.

*Note that it is possible to solve all of the following problems without computing a generalized inverse of  $X'X$ . You may choose to compute a generalized inverse of  $X'X$  by hand and solve the problems using the generalized inverse, but doing so will take several minutes and will require knowledge of one or more theorems from the notes and/or homework.*

- (a) Provide the matrix  $X$  corresponding to the choice of  $\underline{y}$  and  $\underline{\beta}$  presented above. (5 points)

(b) Note that there are no observations for level 2 of factor  $A$  and level 1 of factor  $B$ . Is  $\alpha_2 + \beta_1$  estimable? Demonstrate why or why not. (10 points)

(c) Determine  $\hat{\underline{\beta}}$  so that  $\|\underline{y} - X\hat{\underline{\beta}}\| \leq \|\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta}\|$  for all  $\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . (13 points)

(d) Provide an unbiased estimate of  $\sigma^2$ . (7 points)

(e) Determine the minimum variance unbiased estimate of  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ . (5 points)

3. Suppose  $\underline{x} \sim N_p(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma_{p \times p})$ ,  $\Sigma$  is nonsingular, and  $A$  is a symmetric  $p \times p$  matrix. Prove that  $\underline{x}' A \underline{x} \sim \chi_q^2(\underline{\mu}' A \underline{\mu})$  if  $A \Sigma$  is idempotent and  $\text{rank}(A) = q$ . (20 points)

4. Consider a balanced and completely randomized experiment with  $K$  treatments and  $n$  observations per treatment. Let  $y_{ij}$  denote the  $j^{\text{th}}$  observation for treatment  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, K$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Suppose all responses are independent and that

$$y_{i1}, \dots, y_{in} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$$

where  $\mu_i$  is an unknown treatment mean and  $\sigma_i^2$  is an unknown positive treatment variance for  $i = 1, \dots, K$ . Suppose we wish to test  $H_{0i} : \mu_i = 0$  vs.  $H_{Ai} : \mu_i \neq 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, K$ .

- (a) Write down the test statistic you will use to test  $H_{0i}$  vs.  $H_{Ai}$ , and state its distribution precisely. (10 points)

- (b) Suppose you want the probability of one or more type I errors in the family of  $K$  tests to be no larger than  $\alpha$  in general and to be exactly  $\alpha$  if all  $K$  null hypotheses are true. Provide a multiple testing procedure that will satisfy these requirements. (*12 points*)

Ungraded Scratch Paper



Ungraded Scratch Paper