

1. (a) Testing $H_0: C\beta = \underline{d}$ under $\underline{Y} = X\beta + \underline{\varepsilon}$, where $\underline{\varepsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 V)$

is equivalent with testing it under the model

$$\underline{z} = W\beta + \underline{\delta}, \text{ where } \underline{z} = V^{-\frac{1}{2}}\underline{Y}, W = V^{-\frac{1}{2}}X, \underline{\delta} = V^{-\frac{1}{2}}\underline{\varepsilon} \quad (\star)$$

and thus $\underline{\delta} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$. The equivalency is because

V is positive definite, thus, $\text{rank}(W) = \text{rank}(X)$.

Model (\star) is a normal linear Gauss-Markov model,

thus, the F -statistic for testing $H_0: C\beta = \underline{d}$ is

$$F = \frac{(C\hat{\beta} - \underline{d})' [C(W'W)^{-1}C']^{-1} (C\hat{\beta} - \underline{d}) / q}{\hat{\sigma}^2},$$

where $\hat{\beta} = (W'W)^{-1}W'\underline{z} = (X'V^{-1}X)^{-1}X'V^{-1}\underline{Y}$; $q = \text{rank}(C)$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\underline{z}'(I - P_W)\underline{z}}{n-r}, \quad r = \text{rank}(W) = \text{rank}(X)$$

$$= \frac{\underline{Y}'V^{-\frac{1}{2}}(I - V^{-\frac{1}{2}}X(X'V^{-1}X)^{-1}X'V^{-\frac{1}{2}})V^{-\frac{1}{2}}\underline{Y}}{n-r} = \frac{\underline{Y}'(V^{-1} - V^{-1}X(X'V^{-1}X)^{-1}X'V^{-1})\underline{Y}}{n-r}$$

F has a noncentral F -distribution $F_{q, n-r}(\phi)$, where ϕ is

$$\begin{aligned} & (C\beta - \underline{d})' [C(W'W)^{-1}C']^{-1} (C\beta - \underline{d}) / \sigma^2 \\ &= (C\beta - \underline{d})' [C(X'V^{-1}X)^{-1}C']^{-1} (C\beta - \underline{d}) / \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

1.(b): Model $\underline{z} \sim N(W\underline{\beta}, \sigma^2 I)$ is normal linear Gauss-Markov model, therefore,

$$\frac{\underline{c}'\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{c}'\underline{\beta}}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \underline{c}'(W'W)^{-1}\underline{c}}} \sim t_{n-r}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \underline{z}'(I - P_W)\underline{z} / (n-r)$ $\hat{\underline{\beta}} = (X'V^{-1}X)^{-1}X'V^{-1}\underline{y}$

Therefore, a $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for $\underline{c}'\underline{\beta}$ is

$$\left(\underline{c}'\hat{\underline{\beta}} - t_{n-r, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \underline{c}'(W'W)^{-1}\underline{c}}, \underline{c}'\hat{\underline{\beta}} + t_{n-r, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \underline{c}'(W'W)^{-1}\underline{c}} \right)$$

2. Let $\underline{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix}$,

Because $w_1 \sim N(4\mu, \sigma^2)$ and $w_2 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/3)$ are independent,

$$\underline{w} \sim N(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma), \text{ where } \underline{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} 4\mu \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \mu.$$

$$\text{and } \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Var}(w_1) & \text{Cov}(w_1, w_2) \\ \text{Cov}(w_1, w_2) & \text{Var}(w_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2/3 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \underline{w} \sim N(X\mu, \sigma^2 V), \text{ where } X = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, this is an Aitken model.

Therefore, the BLUE of μ is

$$\hat{\mu} = (X'V^{-1}X)^{-1}X'V^{-1}\underline{w}$$

$$= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{4}{19}w_1 + \frac{3}{19}w_2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 3(a). \text{Var}(y_i) &= \text{Var}(|X_i| \varepsilon_i) \\
 &= |X_i|^2 \text{Var}(\varepsilon_i) \\
 &= X_i^2 \sigma^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Cov}(|X_i| y_i, |X_j| y_j) &= |X_i| |X_j| \text{Cov}(y_i, y_j) \\
 &= |X_i| |X_j| 0 \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\text{Var}(Y) = \sigma^2 \text{diag}(X_1^2, \dots, X_n^2)$, i.e.,

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} X_1^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & X_2^2 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & & X_{n-1}^2 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & X_n^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(5)

$$3(b) \text{Var}(\underline{Y}) = \sigma^2 V, \text{ where } V = \text{diag}(X_1^2, X_2^2, \dots, X_n^2)$$

Because x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are known, V is known.

Thus, this is a special case of the Aitken model.

The design matrix is

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \equiv \underline{x} \quad \text{and the parameter vector is } \underline{\beta} = [\beta] \quad (p=1)$$

The BLUE is

$$\begin{aligned} (X'V^{-1}X)^{-1}X'V^{-1}\underline{Y} &= (\underline{x}'V^{-1}\underline{x})^{-1}\underline{x}'V^{-1}\underline{Y} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i / x_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 / x_i^2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i}{x_i} \end{aligned}$$

4. (a) This is a split-plot experiment. The whole-plot experimental units are pots. The split-plot experimental units are seedlings.

(b) Seedlings.

<u>Source</u>	<u>DF</u>
watering level	$3-1 = 2$
pot (wat. lev.)	$(10-1)(3) = 27$
injection	$2-1 = 1$
wat. lev. x injection	$(3-1)(2-1) = 2$
error	87
c. total	$120-1 = 119$

Note that "error" is a combination of injection x pot (wat. lev.) $(2-1)(27) = 27$ and seedling (injection, pot, wat. lev.) $= (2-1)(60) = 60$

(7)

$$\begin{aligned} 5.(a) \quad Y_{1j} - Y_{2j} &= \mu_1 + u_j + e_{1j} - (\mu_2 + u_j + e_{2j}) \\ &= \mu_1 - \mu_2 + e_{1j} - e_{2j} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_{1j} - Y_{2j}) &= \mu_1 - \mu_2 + E(e_{1j}) - E(e_{2j}) \\ &= \mu_1 - \mu_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(Y_{1j} - Y_{2j}) &= \text{var}(e_{1j} - e_{2j}) = \text{var}(e_{1j}) + \text{var}(e_{2j}) \\ &= 2\sigma_e^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, d_1, \dots, d_{20} iid $N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, 2\sigma_e^2)$

(We have normality because linear combinations of normals are normal. We have independence because all e_{ij} 's are independent.)

⑧

5.(b) We should conduct a paired-data t-test.

$$\text{Take } Y = \underline{d}, X = \underline{1}, \beta = \mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma^2 = 2\sigma_e^2$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y = (\underline{1}'\underline{1})^{-1}\underline{1}'Y = \bar{y} = \bar{d}.$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1} = 2\sigma_e^2/n = \sigma_e^2/10$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}^2 = 2\hat{\sigma}_e^2 &= \frac{(Y - X\hat{\beta})'(Y - X\hat{\beta})}{n - \text{rank}(X)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} (d_i - \bar{d})^2}{19} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to test $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 \Leftrightarrow$ test $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$

$$\text{we use } t = \frac{\bar{d}}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2/n}} = \frac{\bar{d}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} (d_i - \bar{d})^2}{19} / 20}}$$

5(c) Noncentral t with d.f. = 19 and noncentrality parameter

$$\frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/20}} = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\sqrt{2\sigma_e^2/20}} = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\sqrt{\sigma_e^2/10}}$$

This is true because

$$t = \frac{\bar{d}_1 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/20}} + \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/20}}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

$$\frac{\bar{d}_1 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/20}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

independent of

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{19/19}$$

5(d). This is the classic case of two independent normal samples. Take

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{a} \\ \underline{b} \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{20 \times 1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{20 \times 1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \text{var}(u_j + e_{ij}) = \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y = \begin{bmatrix} 20 & 0 \\ 0 & 20 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a. \\ b. \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a}. \\ \bar{b}. \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C' \hat{\beta} = [1, -1] \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a}. \\ \bar{b}. \end{bmatrix} = \bar{a}. - \bar{b}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(C' \hat{\beta}) &= C' \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} C = \sigma^2 [1, -1] \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{20} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{20} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{10} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{(Y - X\hat{\beta})'(Y - X\hat{\beta})}{n - \text{rank}(X)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} (a_i - \bar{a}.)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{20} (b_i - \bar{b}.)^2}{38} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the formula for the interval is

$$\bar{a}. - \bar{b}. \pm t_{38}^{(.975)} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} (a_i - \bar{a}.)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{20} (b_i - \bar{b}.)^2}{(38)(10)}}$$

5(e). From previous parts we have

$$E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} (d_i - \bar{d})^2}{19}\right) = 2\sigma_e^2$$

$$\text{and } E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} (d_i - \bar{d})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{20} (b_i - \bar{b})^2}{38}\right) = \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2$$

$$\text{Thus, } \hat{\sigma}_e^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} (d_i - \bar{d})^2}{19}$$

$$\text{and } \hat{\sigma}_u^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} (a_i - \bar{a})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{20} (b_i - \bar{b})^2}{38} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} (d_i - \bar{d})^2}{19}$$

5(f). You could set the problem up as

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{d}. \\ \bar{a}. \\ \bar{b}. \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\underline{e}} \sim N \left(\underline{\underline{0}}, \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma_e^2}{10} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2}{20} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2}{20} \end{bmatrix}}_{\Sigma} \right)$$

You could then compute

$$(X' \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Sigma^{-1} y$$

Alternatively, we know that $\bar{d}.$ and $\bar{a}. - \bar{b}.$ are independent estimators of $\mu_1 - \mu_2$. The BLUP will be of the form

$$\alpha \bar{d}. + (1 - \alpha) (\bar{a}. - \bar{b}.)$$

with weights α inversely proportional to the variances of $\bar{d}.$

and $\bar{a}. - \bar{b}.$

$$\text{var}(\bar{d}.) = \frac{2\sigma_e^2}{20} = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{10}$$

$$\text{var}(\bar{a}. - \bar{b}.) = \frac{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2}{10}$$

Thus,

$$\alpha = \frac{10/\sigma_e^2}{10/\sigma_e^2 + \frac{10}{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2}} = \frac{10(\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2)}{10(\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2) + 10\sigma_e^2} = \frac{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2}{\sigma_u^2 + 2\sigma_e^2}$$

The BLUP is, therefore,

$$\frac{\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_e^2}{\sigma_u^2 + 2\sigma_e^2} \bar{d}_i + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_u^2 + 2\sigma_e^2} (\bar{a}_i - \bar{b}_i)$$