

The F -test for Comparing Full and Reduced Models

- Suppose the normal theory Gauss-Markov model holds.

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$$

- Suppose $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$ and we wish to test

$$H_0 : \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \text{ vs. } H_A : \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \setminus \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0).$$

- The “reduced” model corresponds to the null hypothesis and says that $E(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)$, a specified subspace of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$.
- The “full” model says that $E(\mathbf{y})$ can be anywhere in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$.
- For example, suppose

$$\mathbf{X}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- In this case, the reduced model says that all 6 observations have the same mean.
- The full model says that there are three groups of two observations. Within each group, observations have the same mean. The three group means may be different from one another.

- For this example,

$$H_0 : E(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \text{ vs. } H_A : E(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \setminus \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)$$

is equivalent to

$$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 \text{ vs. } H_A : \mu_i \neq \mu_j, \text{ for some } i \neq j$$

if we use μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 to denote the elements of β in the full model, i.e.

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \end{bmatrix} .$$

- For the general case, consider the test statistic

$$F = \frac{\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{y} / [\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)]}{\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y} / [n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})]}$$

- To show that this statistic has an F distribution, we will use the following fact:

$$\mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{P}_{X_0} = \mathbf{P}_{X_0}.$$

- There are many ways to see that this is true.

$$\forall \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{C}(X_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(X).$$

- Thus, $\forall \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{a}$
- This implies $\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{P}_{X_0} = \mathbf{P}_{X_0}$.
- Transposing both sides of this equality and using symmetry of projection matrices yields

$$\mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{P}_{X_0}.$$

- Alternatively,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) &\implies \text{Every column of } \mathbf{X}_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \\ &\implies \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{X}_0.\end{aligned}$$

- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{P}_{X_0} &= \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}'_0 \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_0 = \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}'_0 \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'_0 \\ &= \mathbf{P}_{X_0}.\end{aligned}$$

- This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{P}_{X_0})' &= \mathbf{P}'_{X_0} \implies \mathbf{P}'_{X_0} \mathbf{P}'_X = \mathbf{P}'_{X_0} \\ &\implies \mathbf{P}_{X_0} \mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{P}_{X_0}. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

- Alternatively, $\mathcal{C}(X_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(X) \implies XB = X_0$ for some B because every column of X_0 must be in $\mathcal{C}(X)$.
- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{X_0}P_X &= X_0(X_0'X_0)^{-1}X_0'P_X = X_0(X_0'X_0)^{-1}(XB)'P_X \\
 &= X_0(X_0'X_0)^{-1}B'X'P_X = X_0(X_0'X_0)^{-1}B'X' \\
 &= X_0(X_0'X_0)^{-1}(XB)' = X_0(X_0'X_0)^{-1}X_0' = P_{X_0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_XP_{X_0} &= P_XX_0(X_0'X_0)^{-1}X_0' = P_XXB(X_0'X_0)^{-1}X_0' \\
 &= XB(X_0'X_0)^{-1}X_0' = X_0(X_0'X_0)^{-1}X_0' = P_{X_0}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

- Note that $P_X - P_{X_0}$ is a symmetric and idempotent matrix:

$$\begin{aligned}(P_X - P_{X_0})(P_X - P_{X_0}) &= P_X P_X - P_X P_{X_0} - P_{X_0} P_X + P_{X_0} P_{X_0} \\ &= P_X - P_{X_0} - P_{X_0} + P_{X_0} \\ &= P_X - P_{X_0}\end{aligned}$$

Now back to determining the distribution of

$$\frac{\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{y} / [\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)]}{\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y} / [n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})]}.$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{y}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)}^2 (\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} / \sigma^2)$$

because

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}}{\sigma^2} \right) (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}$$

is idempotent and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_X) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_{X_0}) \\ &= \text{rank}(\mathbf{P}_X) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{P}_{X_0}) \\ &= \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0). \end{aligned}$$

- $\frac{\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-\text{rank}(X)}^2$ by previous work.
- $(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{y}$ is independent of $\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})(\sigma^2\mathbf{I})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X) &= \sigma^2(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0} + \mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{P}_X) \\
 &= \sigma^2(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0} + \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) = \mathbf{0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, $\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{y} = [(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{y}]'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{y}$ is independent of $\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y}$.

- Thus, it follows that

$$F = \frac{\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{y} / [\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)]}{\mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y} / [n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})]}$$

$$\sim F_{\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0), n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})}(\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} / \sigma^2).$$

- If H_0 is true, i.e. if $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)$, then the noncentrality parameter is 0 because

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} &= \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}\end{aligned}$$

- In general, the noncentrality parameter quantifies how far the mean of \mathbf{y} is from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma^2 \\ = & \boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma^2 \\ = & \|\| (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \|\|^2 / \sigma^2 = \|\| \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \|\|^2 / \sigma^2 \\ = & \|\| \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \|\|^2 / \sigma^2 = \|\| \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{y}) \|\|^2 / \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{y}'[(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) - (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)]\mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y} \\ &= SSE_{\text{REDUCED}} - SSE_{\text{FULL}}. \end{aligned}$$

- Also $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= [n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)] - [n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})] \\ &= DFE_{\text{REDUCED}} - DFE_{\text{FULL}} \end{aligned}$$

where $DFE = \text{Degrees of Freedom for Error}$.

- Thus, the F statistic has the familiar form

$$\frac{(SSE_{\text{REDUCED}} - SSE_{\text{FULL}})/(DFE_{\text{REDUCED}} - DFE_{\text{FULL}})}{SSE_{\text{FULL}}/DFE_{\text{FULL}}}$$

- It turns out that this reduced vs. full model F test is equivalent to the F test we learned for testing

$$H_0 : C\beta = d \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_A : C\beta \neq d,$$

where $C\beta$ is testable.

- Proving the equivalence of these F tests is covered in detail in 611.
- We will look at a few examples in 511.