

## Stat 511 Lecture 18

Note Title

2/25/2008

Recall ... 2 way factorial

Testing  $H_0: \alpha\beta_{ij} = 0 \forall ij$  ✓Testing  $H_0: \alpha_i = 0 \forall i$  (or  $H_0: \beta_j = 0 \forall j$ )

- in the cell means model

- in (restrictions of) the effects model

you and I need to be real careful 😊

It's not "obvious" what to do except in cases where all  $n_{ij} = m > 0$  (balanced data cases) - e.g.testing  $H_0: \text{all } \alpha_i^* = 0 \forall i$  in one of these restricted effects models is typical NOT a test of  $H_0: \alpha_i = 0 \forall i$ 

Example 2x3 effects model under SAS baseline restriction for this model

$H_0: \alpha_i^* = 0$   
is the hypothesis  
that  $M_{12} = M_{23}$

	B		
	1	2	3
1	$\mu^* + \alpha_1^* + \beta_1^* + \alpha\beta_{11}^*$	$\mu^* + \alpha_1^* + \beta_2^* + \alpha\beta_{12}^*$	$\mu^* + \alpha_1^*$
2	$\mu^* + \beta_1^*$	$\mu^* + \beta_2^*$	$\mu^*$

So what makes sense? Talk 1st about **balanced data contexts** .. in such contexts

e.g. computed from a cell means model

$$SS_{H_0}^{\alpha's} = R(\alpha^* 's | \mu) \leftarrow$$

$$\parallel$$

$$R(\alpha^* 's | \mu, \beta^* 's) \leftarrow$$

$$\parallel$$

$$R(\alpha^* 's | \mu, \beta^* 's, \alpha\beta^* 's)$$

i.e.

$$SS_{H_0}^{\alpha's} = Y' \left( P_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1, X_{\alpha^*} \end{pmatrix}} - P_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} \right) Y$$

$$\parallel$$

$$Y' \left( P_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1, X_{\alpha^*} | X_{\beta^*} \end{pmatrix}} - P_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 | X_{\beta^*} \end{pmatrix}} \right) Y$$

$$\parallel$$

$$Y' \left( P_X - P_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 | X_{\beta^*} | X_{\alpha\beta^*} \end{pmatrix}} \right) Y$$

on the other hand, what these  $R(1)$ 's might be appropriate for testing (i.e. for using as numerators in F statistics) is a bit bizarre (in particular involving sample sizes  $n_{ij}$ ) - go look at Kochler's notes - Vardeman finds this unappealing... one (in order to communicate) needs to know common jargon for these "SS's" -

"Source"	Type I SS	Type II SS	Type III SS
A x B	$R(\alpha\beta^*s   \mu, \alpha^*s, \beta^*s)$		$SS_{H_0^{\alpha\beta}}$
A	see 566K $R(\alpha^*s   \mu)$	same $R(\alpha^*s   \mu, \beta^*s)$	$SS_{H_0^\alpha}$
B	see 566K $R(\beta^*s   \mu, \alpha^*s)$	$R(\beta^*s   \mu, \alpha^*s)$	$SS_{H_0^\beta}$

Related to the issue of "lack of balance" in a 2 way factorial is the possibility that factorial is not complete

		B		
		1	2	3
A	1	$\mu_{11}$	$\mu_{12}$	$\mu_{13}$
	2	$\mu_{21}$	$\mu_{22}$	$\mu_{23}$

Data		
2	←	
←	←	←

"real" / model means

lacking data from cell (1,2) I know nothing about  $\mu_{13}$  unless I'm willing to make strong model assumptions