

1. Use a very small set of fake unbalanced two-level nested data.

- (a) The pooled variance from the 5 samples (of sizes 2,4,2,2, and 3) is 1.089 (standard error = 1.044). An exact 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma$  is  $\left(\frac{1.044\sqrt{8}}{\sqrt{\chi^2_{(.975,8)}}}, \frac{1.044\sqrt{8}}{\sqrt{\chi^2_{(.025,8)}}}\right) = (0.705, 2.000)$ . An approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma$  is (0.639, 1.747). The algorithm implemented in R produces a narrower confidence interval.

```
> intervals(lmf.out)
Approximate 95% confidence intervals

Within-group standard error:
  lower      est.      upper
0.6388509 1.0565890 1.7474817
```

- (b) Note that the estimate of  $\sigma$  (residual standard error = 1.044) produced by lmf.out is exactly the one based on the mean square error referred in 1(a).

```
> summary(lmf.out)
Residual standard error: 1.044 on 8 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.6286, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4429
F-statistic: 3.385 on 4 and 8 DF, p-value: 0.06686
```

The predictions for the fixed effects model correspond to the cell sample means ( $\bar{y}_{ij}$ ).

```
> round(predict(lmf.out),3)
  1      2      3      4      5      6      7      8      9     10     11     12     13
6.050 6.050 7.400 7.400 7.400 7.400 9.650 9.650 8.500 8.500 8.067 8.067 8.067
> round(predict(lmfef.out),3)
 1/1  1/1  1/2  1/2  1/2  1/2  2/1  2/1  2/2  2/2  2/3  2/3  2/3
6.739 6.739 7.210 7.210 7.210 7.210 8.881 8.881 8.530 8.530 8.354 8.354 8.354
```

2. The weights of 75 pieces of sliver were determined and a standard hierarchical (balanced data) ANOVA table was produced as below.

Term	Source	SS	df	E(MS)
A	Machines	1966	2	$\sigma^2 + 5\sigma_\beta^2 + 25\sigma_\alpha^2$
B(A)	Rolls	644	12	$\sigma^2 + 5\sigma_\beta^2$
Error	Pieces	280	60	$\sigma^2$

- (a) Make 95% confidence intervals for each of the three standard deviations.

i. A 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma$  is  $\left(\sqrt{\frac{SSE}{\chi^2_{(.975,60)}}}, \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{\chi^2_{(.025,60)}}}\right) = (1.833, 2.630)$ .

ii.  $\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 = \frac{MSB(A)-MSE}{5} = 9.8$ .  
 $\hat{\nu} = \frac{(\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2)^2}{\frac{(MSB(A)/5)^2}{12} + \frac{(-MSE/5)^2}{60}} = 9.989$ .

A 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma_\beta$  is  $\left(\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 \hat{\nu}}{\chi^2_{(.975,\hat{\nu})}}}, \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 \hat{\nu}}{\chi^2_{(.025,\hat{\nu})}}}\right) = (2.186, 5.501)$ .

iii.  $\hat{\sigma}_\alpha^2 = \frac{MSA-MSB(A)}{5 \times 5} = 37.173$ .  
 $\hat{\nu} = \frac{(\hat{\sigma}_\alpha^2)^2}{\frac{(MSA/25)^2}{2} + \frac{(-MSB(A)/25)^2}{12}} = 1.787$

A 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma_\alpha$  is  $\left(\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_\alpha^2 \hat{\nu}}{\chi^2_{(.975,\hat{\nu})}}}, \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_\alpha^2 \hat{\nu}}{\chi^2_{(.025,\hat{\nu})}}}\right) = (3.098, 46.298)$ .

iv. The largest part of variation in measured weight comes from differences between machines.

(b) A 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$  is  $\bar{y}_{...} \pm t_{(.975,2)} \sqrt{\frac{MSA}{3 \times 5 \times 5}} = (19.42, 50.58)$ .

3. Use fake unbalanced  $3 \times 3$  factorial data of problem 4 on HW5.

```
> intervals(twoway.out)
Approximate 95% confidence intervals
Fixed effects:
      lower      est.      upper
(Intercept) 6.74266 10.28013 13.81760 # C.I. for mu
Random Effects:
Level: Group
      lower      est.      upper
sd(A - 1) 0.6647270 1.875825 5.293479 # C.I. for sigma_alpha
sd(B - 1) 0.7185728 2.003537 5.586294 # C.I. for sigma_beta
Within-group standard error:
      lower      est.      upper
0.5310667 0.8971266 1.5155087 # C.I. for sigma
```

4. Use data from page 54 of *Statistical Quality Assurance Methods for Engineers* by Vardeman and Jobe.

```
(a) > Y <- c(13.5,14.8,10.5,11.7,12.9,12.0 ,8.8,13.5,12.4,16.0,
            11.3,12.0,14.0,12.5,13.0,13.1,12.6,12.7,11.0,10.6)
> a <- c(rep(1,10),rep(2,10))
> b <- c(rep(c(1,1,2,2,3,3,4,4,5,5),2))
> A <- as.factor(a)
> B <- as.factor(b)
> fit.out <- lm(Y~A*B)
> anova(fit.out)
Analysis of Variance Table
      Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
A      1  0.5445  0.5445  0.2598 0.62129
B      4  2.6920  0.6730  0.3212 0.85751
A:B    4 24.4980  6.1245  2.9227 0.07695 .
Residuals 10 20.9550  2.0955
```

(b) A 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma$  is  $\left(\sqrt{\frac{SSE}{\chi^2_{(.975,10)}}}, \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{\chi^2_{(.025,10)}}}\right) = (1.011, 2.540)$ .

(c)  $\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 + \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2 = \frac{MSB+MSAB-2MSE}{4} = .6516$ .  
 $\hat{\nu} = \frac{(\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 + \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2)^2}{\frac{(MSB/4)^2}{4} + \frac{(MSAB/4)^2}{4} + \frac{(-MSE/2)^2}{10}} = 0.604$ .

A 95% confidence interval for  $\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 + \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2}$  is  $\left(\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 + \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2 \hat{\nu}}{\chi^2_{(.975, \hat{\nu})}}}, \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 + \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2 \hat{\nu}}{\chi^2_{(.025, \hat{\nu})}}}\right) = (0.321, 238.470)$ .

```
(d) > intervals(gauge.out)
Approximate 95% confidence intervals
Random Effects:
Level: Group
      lower      est.      upper
sd(A - 1) 1.501567e-31 0.01275671 1.083759e+27
sd(B - 1) 4.061465e-22 0.01512822 5.634991e+17
sd(AB - 1) 1.260900e-01 0.70219319 3.910502e+00

Within-group standard error:
      lower      est.      upper
0.934119 1.447563 2.243225
```

- i. The point estimate of the repeatability standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) is 1.447. The point estimate of the reproducibility standard deviation ( $\sqrt{\sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2}$ ) is  $\sqrt{0.015^2 + 0.702^2} = 0.702$  based on REML estimates.
- ii. Note that the confidence interval for  $\sigma_\beta$  is extremely wide. Then, the point estimate of reproducibility standard deviation is not reliable.
- iii. Our approximate interval from (c) does not do justice to the uncertainty here.

5. Use a small set of fake unbalanced two-way factorial data from 2 (unbalanced) blocks.

(a) An estimate of  $\sigma$  is the residual standard error (= 0.394).

```
> summary(lmf.out)
lm(formula = y ~ A * B + FBlock)
      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  9.5929      0.1040  92.245 2.13e-13 ***
A1           1.2849      0.1040  12.356 1.72e-06 ***
B1          -0.2476      0.1473  -1.681  0.131
B2           1.5571      0.1541  10.104 7.86e-06 ***
FBlock1     -1.0286      0.1053  -9.768 1.01e-05 ***
A1:B1        0.2603      0.1487   1.751  0.118
A1:B2       -0.2349      0.1541  -1.524  0.166

Residual standard error: 0.394 on 8 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.9793,    Adjusted R-squared: 0.9638
F-statistic: 63.07 on 6 and 8 DF,  p-value: 2.665e-06
```

(b) The inferences for the fixed effects is the same in both analyses. They give the same point estimates for the fixed effects and their standard errors.

```
> summary(lmef.out)
Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML

Random effects:
Formula: ~1 | Block
      (Intercept) Residual
StdDev:   1.446976 0.3940027

Fixed effects: y ~ A * B
      Value Std.Error DF   t-value p-value
(Intercept) 9.593456 1.0284378  8  9.328183 <.0001
A1           1.284322 0.1039918  8 12.350224 <.0001
B1          -0.246421 0.1472967  8 -1.672959 0.1329
B2           1.556544 0.1541129  8 10.100021 <.0001
A1:B1        0.262713 0.1486697  8  1.767092 0.1152
A1:B2       -0.234322 0.1541129  8 -1.520455 0.1669
```

The point estimates for  $\sigma$  are the same in both analyses, but from `lmf.out` fitted model we obtained  $\left(\frac{0.394\sqrt{8}}{\sqrt{\chi_{.975,8}^2}}, \frac{0.394\sqrt{8}}{\sqrt{\chi_{.025,8}^2}}\right) = (0.266, 0.755)$  as a 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma$ , and for `lmef.out` fitted model we obtained  $(0.241, 0.643)$ , a narrower interval.

```
> intervals(lmef.out)
Approximate 95% confidence intervals

Random Effects:
Level: Block
      lower      est.      upper
sd((Intercept)) 0.3565873 1.446976 5.871606

Within-group standard error:
      lower      est.      upper
0.2413784 0.3940027 0.6431317
```

In the fixed effects analysis the estimate of the block effect is  $-1.0286$ . In the mixed effect model  $\tau$  is assumed normally distributed with mean zero and the estimate of  $\sigma_\tau$  is 1.447. From this distribution, the value  $-1.0286$  is within one standard deviation from its mean and, therefore consistent with the estimate of  $\sigma_\tau$ .

(c) The BLUE of  $\mu + \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha\beta_{11} + \tau_1$  is  $9.592857 + 1.284920 - 0.2476190 + 0.2603175 - 1.028571 = 9.862$ .  
The BLUP of  $\mu + \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha\beta_{11} + \tau_1$  is  $9.593456 + 1.284322 - 0.2464212 + 0.2627131 - 1.017791 = 9.876$ .

The BLUP of  $\mu + \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha\beta_{11} + \tau_3$  is  $9.593456 + 1.284322 - 0.2464212 + 0.2627131 + 0 = 10.894$ .

In the fixed effects model we require information from the third block in order to estimate its effect and to predict a new observation from this block.

```
> random.effects(lmef.out)
(Intercept)
1 -1.017791
2 1.017791
```

6. Consider the scenario of the example beginning on page 1190 of Neter, Wasserman, and friends.

(a) `> anova(lmf1.out)`

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: y

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)		
time	2	67073	33537			# SSA	= 67073
ad	1	168151	168151			# SSB	= 168151
time:ad	2	391	196			# SSAB	= 391
ad:test	8	1833681	229210			# SSC(B)	= 1833681
time:ad:test	16	5727	358			# SSE	= 5727
Residuals	0	0					

`> anova(lmf2.out)`

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: y

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)		
time	2	67073	33537			# SSA	= 67073
ad	1	168151	168151			# SSB	= 168151
test	4	397490	99373				
time:ad	2	391	196			# SSAB	= 391
time:test	8	2475	309				
ad:test	4	1436191	359048				
time:ad:test	8	3252	407				
Residuals	0	0					

$SSC(B) = SS(\text{test}) + SS(\text{ad:test})$  and  $Df(C(B)) = Df(\text{test}) + Df(\text{ad:test})$ .

$SSE = SS(\text{time:test}) + SS(\text{time:ad:test})$  and  $Df(\text{Error}) = Df(\text{time:test}) + Df(\text{time:ad:test})$ .

(b) An approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma_\gamma$  is (169.076, 451.181) and an approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma$  is (13.380, 26.754).

```
> intervals(lmef.out)
```

Approximate 95% confidence intervals

Random Effects:

Level: loc

	lower	est.	upper
sd((Intercept))	169.0764	276.1957	451.1809

Within-group standard error:

	lower	est.	upper
	13.37975	18.92001	26.75436

(c) Exact 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma$  is  $\left(\sqrt{\frac{5727}{\chi_{.975,16}^2}}, \sqrt{\frac{5727}{\chi_{.025,16}^2}}\right) = (14.090, 28.794)$ .

$\hat{\sigma}_\gamma^2 = \frac{MSC(B) - MSE}{3} = 229210 - 3583 = 76284$  and,  $\hat{\nu} = \frac{76284^2}{\frac{((1/3)229210)^2}{8} + \frac{((-1/3)358)^2}{16}} = 7.975$ . Therefore, an approxi-

mate 95% confidence interval for  $\sigma_\gamma$  is  $\left(\sqrt{\frac{76284\hat{\nu}}{\chi_{.975,\hat{\nu}}^2}}, \sqrt{\frac{76284\hat{\nu}}{\chi_{.025,\hat{\nu}}^2}}\right) = (186.467, 529.839)$ .

These intervals are wider than those obtained in (b).

- (d) A 95% confidence interval for the difference in Time 1 and Time 2 main effects is  $(\bar{y}_{1..} - \bar{y}_{2..}) \pm t_{.975,16} \sqrt{\frac{2}{10} MSE} = (-98.4, -62.5)$ . A 95% confidence interval for the difference in Ad Campaign 1 and Ad Campaign 2 main effects is  $(\bar{y}_{.1.} - \bar{y}_{.2.}) \pm t_{.975,8} \sqrt{\frac{2}{15} MSC(B)} = (-253.4, 552.9)$ . This last interval can also be obtained using `intervals(lmef.out)` and, doubling the limits of the interval for the fixed effect `ad1`.

```
> intervals(lmef.out)
Approximate 95% confidence intervals

Fixed effects:
      lower      est.      upper
(Intercept) 479.234485 664.5333333 849.832182
time1       -26.489335 -16.1333333  -5.777331
time2        53.910665  64.2666667  74.622669
ad1         -126.698897  74.8666667  276.432230
time1:ad1   -15.022669  -4.6666667   5.689335
time2:ad1    -9.822669   0.5333333  10.889335
```

- (e) We can predict  $Y_{22k} = 664.5333 + 64.2667 - 74.8667 - 0.5333 + 0 = 653.4$  for  $k = new$ .

```
> summary(lmef.out)
Fixed effects: y ~ 1 + time * ad
      Value Std.Error DF   t-value p-value
(Intercept) 664.5333  87.40902 16   7.602571 <.0001
time1       -16.1333   4.88512 16  -3.302543 0.0045
time2        64.2667   4.88512 16  13.155583 <.0001
ad1          74.8667   87.40902  8   0.856510 0.4166
time1:ad1   -4.6667   4.88512 16  -0.955281 0.3536
time2:ad1    0.5333   4.88512 16   0.109175 0.9144
```