

1. A 90% confidence interval for σ based on carrying over the linear model result that $SSE/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-k}^2$ is (11.1, 40.5). A 90% confidence interval for σ based on “profile likelihood material” is (9.3, 24.5) and it is obtained considering

$$\left(\sigma^2 \mid -\frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{SSE}{2\sigma^2} > -\frac{n}{2} \log\left(\frac{SSE}{n}\right) - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \chi_1^2 \right) = \left(-3 \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{584.0044}{\sigma^2} > -20.16666 \right)$$

```
l1 <- sqrt(ss(b1,b2)/qchisq(0.95,4)) # SSE/sigma^2 ~ chi-squared
ul <- sqrt(ss(b1,b2)/qchisq(0.05,4))
sigma2 <- seq(50,2000,0.01) # profile likelihood
L <- -3*log(sigma2)-584.0044/sigma2
tmp <- cbind(sigma2,L)
tmp <- matrix(tmp[L>-20.16666],ncol=2)
sqrt(min(tmp[,1])) ; sqrt(max(tmp[,1]))
```

2. This 90% confidence interval for β_1 is similar to the one visually obtained in part (g) of HW7.

```
> confint.nls(BOD.fm, level=0.90)
      5%      95%
b1 188.0555907 246.2066097
b2  0.3491401  0.8997058
```

3. $Y = X\beta + Zu + \epsilon$

$$(a) \begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{13} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ y_{23} \\ y_{31} \\ y_{32} \\ y_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 \\ \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \epsilon_{13} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \epsilon_{23} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \epsilon_{32} \\ \epsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(b) E(Y) = X\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \alpha + \beta \\ \alpha + 4\beta \\ \alpha \\ \alpha + \beta \\ \alpha + 4\beta \\ \alpha \\ \alpha + \beta \\ \alpha + 4\beta \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } Var(Y) = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } A = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_\gamma^2 + \sigma^2 & & \\ \sigma_\gamma^2 & \sigma_\gamma^2 + \sigma_\delta^2 + \sigma^2 & \\ \sigma_\gamma^2 & \sigma_\gamma^2 + 4\sigma_\delta^2 & \sigma_\gamma^2 + 16\sigma_\delta^2 + \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (c) $\hat{\alpha} = 99.45513$ and $\hat{\beta} = 9.79359$ in both cases. Note that in both cases the variance components have the same proportional relation.

```
X <- matrix(c(rep(1,9),rep(c(0,1,4),3)),9,2)
Y <- matrix(c(99.8,108.1,136.0,100.3,109.5,137.7,98.3,110.1,142.2),9,1)
#sg <- 1; sd <- 1; s <- 0.25 # case 1
sg <- 4; sd <- 4; s <- 1 # case 2 (times sigma^2)
A <- matrix(c(sg+s,sg,sg,sg,sg+sd+s,sg+4*sd,sg,sg+4*sd,sg+16*sd+s),3,3)
V <- matrix(0,9,9); V[1:3,1:3] <- A; V[4:6,4:6] <- A; V[7:9,7:9] <- A
b <- solve(t(X)%*%solve(V)%*%X)%*%t(X)%*%solve(V)%*%Y
```

- (d) The BLUE for (α, β) are the same as those obtained in (c). (Use `sg <- 1; sd <- 1; s <- 1` and follow steps in (c)).
 (e) For each V compute Y^* and $L^*(\sigma^2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\gamma^2 = 1, \sigma_\delta^2 = 1, \sigma^2 = 0.25 & \quad \log L^*(\sigma^2) = -14.30018 \quad \text{larger value of profile loglikelihood} \\ \sigma_\gamma^2 = 1, \sigma_\delta^2 = 1, \sigma^2 = 1 & \quad \log L^*(\sigma^2) = -15.55036 \end{aligned}$$

```
Ystar <- X%*%ginv(t(X)%*%solve(V)%*%X)%*%t(X)%*%solve(V)%*%Y
logLstar <- (-9/2)*log(2*pi) - .5*log(det(V)) - .5*(t(Y-Ystar)%*%solve(V)%*%(Y-Ystar))
```